

Communication from Public

Name: Stephanie Camacho Arriola

Date Submitted: 08/03/2021 09:51 AM

Council File No: 21-0371-S1

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Stephanie, I represent ELACC that is part of the USC Forward Coalition, and I strongly support the appeal. The appeal seeks additional mitigation measures and reasonable limits on alcohol sales to avoid impacts on the nearby community. As noted by one APC commissioner, the 10 noise mitigation measures are very reasonable. The fact the lower appeal was narrowly denied by a vote of 3-2 demonstrates the legitimacy of community concerns. We have many concerns around allowing another CUP for alcohol sales in the Eastside community. To be clear, this community does not need any more liquor licenses. It will only bring more problems. In addition to noise impact, the MPAs as proposed will likely increase USC Police patrolling on the Eastside. The USC police already patrols this area without any community accountability — they sometimes harass and racially profile residents off and on USC facilities. Just last week, these concerns were highlighted in the LA Times. In case you don't know — the USC Police has a track record of hiring of former LAPD officers with past allegations of excessive force, racial discrimination, and credibility and dishonesty issues. We don't need any more USC Police in our community. Nor should the community suffer from the noise impacts caused by the project's alcohol-related activities. USC did not do any real outreach to Eastside residents for this CUP, and they continue to evade accountability to our community. Please grant the appeal, which seeks additional noise mitigation measures and reasonable limits on alcohol sales that will address these legitimate concerns. A vote in favor of our appeal — is a vote in favor of a more inclusive and community centered planning process. We urge you today — please stand with us in our appeal of USC's CUP permit for alcoholic sales.

Communication from Public

Name: Emma A

Date Submitted: 08/03/2021 12:15 PM

Council File No: 21-0371-S1

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Emma A. I represent ACCE, that is part of the USC Forward Coalition, and I strongly support the appeal. The appeal seeks additional mitigation measures and reasonable limits on alcohol sales to avoid impacts on the nearby community. As noted by one APC commissioner, the 10 noise mitigation measures are very reasonable. The fact the lower appeal was narrowly denied by a vote of 3-2 demonstrates the legitimacy of community concerns. We have many concerns around allowing another CUP for alcohol sales in the Eastside community. To be clear, this community does not need any more liquor licenses. It will only bring more problems. In addition to noise impact, the MPAs as proposed will likely increase USC Police patrolling on the Eastside. The USC police already patrols this area without any community accountability — they sometimes harass and racially profile residents off and on USC facilities. Just last week, these concerns were highlighted in the LA Times. In case you don't know — the USC Police has a track record of hiring of former LAPD officers with past allegations of excessive force, racial discrimination, and credibility and dishonesty issues. We don't need any more USC Police in our community. Nor should the community suffer from the noise impacts caused by the project's alcohol-related activities. USC did not do any real outreach to Eastside residents for this CUP, and they continue to evade accountability to our community. Please grant the appeal, which seeks additional noise mitigation measures and reasonable limits on alcohol sales that will address these legitimate concerns. A vote in favor of our appeal — is a vote in favor of a more inclusive and community centered planning process. We urge you today — please stand with us in our appeal of USC's CUP permit for alcoholic sales.